

people have earned," a reference to months-overdue wages and pensions.

Yeltsin has not appeared in public since he became ill before the July 3 runoff election, but he spoke confidently and without any outward sign of illness.

In a separate address to ethnic Russians in former Soviet republics that are now independent, Yeltsin vowed to provide "permanent care and support from your homeland."

UNION MEMBERS DUES USED FOR POLITICAL PURPOSES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. HOKE] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. HOKE. Madam Speaker, I think it is interesting with respect to my good friend and colleague who just spoke that in addressing the question as to why when the Members of his party controlled the House, the Senate and the White House during the 103d Congress, which was 2 years ago, they did not, if this was such an important initiative, undertake to in fact raise the minimum wage at that time. He just dismisses it very quickly and briefly by saying: Well, I am not interested in the past; I am only interested in now. I think that is unfortunate and predictable.

I want to address my comments today to the very hard-working rank and file union members of America whose dues are being used for political purposes and activities that they are probably both not aware of an almost undoubtedly do not agree with. Those are dues that should be put to work for those Members in the negotiation of labor contracts, in getting better working conditions, in getting higher wages, in getting better benefits packages and vacation plans. But they are in fact being used to further the political agenda of their labor bosses who are located not, for example, in Cleveland, OH, which I have the privilege of representing, but in Washington, DC.

What is happening is that through a mandatory payroll deduction scheme, union members dues are being used to fund a defamatory and demagogic attack on Members who have one fundamental problem as far as the unions are concerned. That is, as far as the Washington-based union bosses are concerned, and that is that there is an R next to their name. In other words, what this is really about is partisan politics. It is not about principles and the principles which different people believe in.

Mr. Speaker, let me give an example. There was a poll that was taken of over 1,000 union members about 6 or 8 weeks ago. One of the questions that was asked was, do you believe that the budget of the United States should be balanced and that we should have an amendment to the Constitution requiring a balanced budget? About 80 percent of the union members responded positively that we should. That is not surprising.

About 80 percent of all Americans believe that we ought to have an amend-

ment to the Constitution requiring a balanced budget. And yet the AFL-CIO bosses in Washington are opposed to a balanced budget amendment to the Constitution. It is funny, I had union reps from Cleveland in my office yesterday. They were talking about the union bylaws. And one of the fellows said very clearly that the bylaws prohibit the union from spending more than it takes in. That is a perfectly reasonable policy which is obviously practiced by American families as well. Yet his leadership in Washington opposes a balanced budget amendment to the Constitution, clearly in contravention of what the rank and file members want as well.

Mr. Speaker, I will give another example. The AFL-CIO bosses in Washington are opposed to a balanced budget amendment to the Constitution, clearly in contravention of what the rank and file members want as well.

Mr. Speaker, I will give another example. The AFL-CIO bosses in Washington are opposed to a \$500 per child tax credit, and that would fall primarily to the benefit of working families, union families. And yet they are opposed to that \$500 per child tax credit although in polling the AFL-CIO members, the rank and file members are clearly in favor of it.

So here we have got a very similar situation to what is happening right now in a larger sense in America. That is that what we are trying to do with this Congress is send power out of Washington and back to local communities, because the problem that we have got is this massive centralization, bureaucratic centralization of power in Washington.

So one of the primary efforts besides reducing the size and scope of government as well as reducing the tax burden on the American people of this Congress has been to get more decisionmaking back to the local communities and the conviction that you are going to get better decisionmaking process about government.

The same needs to be done with respect to the unions as well. We need to get that power, the unions need to take that power out of Washington and back to their locals.

UNIONS AND POLITICAL ACTIVITY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California [Mr. RIGGS] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. RIGGS. Madam Speaker, I wanted to follow up on the gentleman from Ohio's comments. I think he makes a very, very important point regarding the unbelievably misleading tactics that are being used by the big labor bosses back here in Washington, DC, in what I think is a desperate and transparent attempt to help the Democratic minority in the Congress regain control of this institution. I think it is very telling and very significant because it is a clear indication of just

how out of touch they are with average working Americans, the very people that they purport to represent.

Let me cite some basic statistical information at the beginning of my remarks. I think we know that the labor bosses here in Washington are opposed to fundamental reforms, the most significant changes that we have been trying to make back here in Washington over the last year and a half, since the Republican Party became the majority party in both the House of Representatives and in the Senate.

These labor bosses, again, I am not talking about rank and file working men and women, but the labor bosses back here in Washington who have become the core constituency of the national Democratic Party and almost the campaign arm of the national Democratic Party. These labor bosses here in Washington are opposed to cutting spending to balance the Federal budget. We all know that we need to put our fiscal house in order. We all know that we need to balance the Federal budget to really preserve the future of our kids and our grandkids and to give them a future with more hope and opportunity than we have enjoyed.

I think it is important to remember the legacy that we do not inherit the world from our parents. We borrow it from our children. We are obligated to create a more promising future for our children and future generations. Yet those labor bosses are opposed to cutting Federal spending to balance the Federal budget, something that would, by virtue of simply bringing Federal revenues and expenditures into line, lower interest rates in this country and produce long-term economic benefits for every single American family and business.

□ 1515

Now, why are they opposed to cutting spending to balance the Federal budget? Well, because the only sector, the only segment, of the union activity that has been growing in recent years is Government employees. In fact, union membership in the public sector has been increasing while union membership in the private sector has been declining over the last several years. So they are opposed to cutting Federal spending to balance the budget because that means that we may have to eliminate a certain number of positions, governmental employee positions, as we go about the process of consolidating and streamlining the Federal Government and eliminating those agencies which are duplicative in nature or which duplicate a function better performed or currently performed by State or local government.

These labor bosses are also opposed to welfare reform. They are opposed to tax cuts for families with children. But what makes their opposition so, I think, significant is that they are opposing the very changes that their own members want.

A recent poll of union members in America indicated that 82 percent of